

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,878	11/26/2003	Hiroshi Nakamoto	NAKA3010/EM	6011
23364 7590 08/14/2007 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO	LANE		REDDING, DAVID A	
ALEXANDRIA	· = '		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
				-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,878	NAKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David A. Redding	1744		

	David A. Redding	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		=	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. Ir
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	and prince to the close of filters - build		
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-4,6-10,12-14,17</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-14 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-11,15-17</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-77,73-77. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	To the states of the dam's after e	inity is below of attach	icu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/ David Redding / Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1744	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The allowable subject matter of claim 6 has been added to claim 1. However, claim 6 was depended from claim 2, not claim 1 and therefore constitutes a new issue which requires further consideration.